arXiv:hep-th/9808147v1 24 Aug 1998

NIKHEF/98-028

Matter coupling and anomaly cancellation in supersymmetric σ-models

S. Groot Nibbelink and J.W. van Holten

NIKHEF, P.O. Box 41882

Amsterdam NL

August 24, 1998

Abstract

Generalized matter couplings to four-dimensional supersymmetric sigma models on general Kähler manifolds are presented, preserving all holomorphic symmetries. Our generalization allows assignment of arbitrary $U(1)$ charges to additional matter fermions, in all representations of (the holomorphic part of) the isometry group. This can be used to eliminate unwanted γ_5 anomalies, in particular for the U(1) symmetry arising from the complex structure of the target space. A consistent gauging of this isometry group, or any of its subgroups, then becomes possible. When gauged in the presence of a chiral scalar multiplet, the U(1) symmetry is broken spontaneously, generating a mass for the U(1) vector multiplet via the supersymmetric Higgs effect. As an example we discuss the case of the homogeneous coset space $E_6/SO(10) \times U(1)$.

Supersymmetric sigma-models in four space-time dimensions are formulated in terms of chiral superfields Φ^α, the physical components of which are complex scalars z^α and (left-handed) chiral spinors ψ_L^α. Because of the complex nature of the fields and the restrictions imposed by supersymmetry, the target space of the scalars is a Kähler manifold [1, 2].

For models with rigid supersymmetry in flat space-time, the kinetic part of the lagrangean is given in terms of a real composite vector superfield $K(\bar{\Phi}, \Phi)$ by the following supersymmetric expression

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma \;=\; K(\bar{\Phi},\Phi)|_D \;=\; -g_{\alpha\underline{\alpha}}\left(\partial^\mu \bar{z}^{\underline{\alpha}}\partial_\mu z^\alpha + \bar{\psi}_L^{\underline{\alpha}}\not{D}\psi_L^\alpha\right) \;+\; \frac{1}{8}\,R_{\alpha\underline{\alpha}\beta\underline{\beta}}\,\bar{\psi}_L^{\underline{\alpha}}\gamma^\mu\psi_L^\alpha\,\bar{\psi}_L^{\underline{\beta}}\gamma_\mu\psi_L^\beta. \tag{1}$$

The real symmetric scalar function $K(\bar{z}, z)$ is the local Kähler potential from which the complex hermitean metric $g_{\alpha\underline{\alpha}}$ is derived as its mixed second derivative

$$g_{\alpha\underline{\alpha}} \;=\; K_{,\alpha\underline{\alpha}}. \tag{2}$$

The corresponding connection and curvature components are

$$\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\alpha} \;=\; g^{\underline{\alpha}\alpha}g_{\beta\underline{\alpha},\gamma}, \qquad R_{\alpha\underline{\alpha}\beta\underline{\beta}} \;=\; g_{\underline{\alpha}\gamma}\,\Gamma_{\alpha\beta,\,\underline{\beta}}{}^{\gamma}. \tag{3}$$

The covariant derivative of the spinor field is formed with the holomorphic pull-back of the connection $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\alpha}$

$$D_\mu\psi_L^\alpha \;=\; \partial_\mu\psi_L^\alpha \;-\; \partial_\mu z^\beta\,\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\alpha}\psi_L^\gamma. \tag{4}$$

The lagrangean $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ is by construction invariant under a $U(1)$ symmetry multiplying the superfields Φ^α, hence all its components $(z^\alpha, \psi_L^\alpha)$, by a universal phase factor $e^{i\theta}$. In geometrical language the symmetry can be represented in terms of a holomorphic Killing vector $R_\theta^\alpha(z)$ by the transformations

$$\delta_\theta z^\alpha \;=\; \theta R_\theta^\alpha(z) \;=\; i\theta q_{(\alpha)}z^\alpha, \qquad \delta_\theta\psi_L^\alpha \;=\; \theta R_{\theta,\beta}^\alpha\,\psi_L^\beta \;=\; i\theta q_{(\alpha)}\psi_L^\alpha. \tag{5}$$

Here the $q_{(\alpha)}$ represent the $U(1)$ charges of the fields. Other symmetries may be present, depending on the properties of the Kähler manifold on which the scalars take their values. In particular, there may be a larger set of holomorphic Killing vectors $R_i^\alpha(z)$ defining a Lie-algebra with structure constants $f_{ij}{}^k$:

$$R_i^\beta R_{j,\beta}^\alpha \;-\; R_j^\beta R_{i,\beta}^\alpha \;=\; f_{ij}{}^k R_k^\alpha. \tag{6}$$

Then the lagrangean (1) is invariant under the infinitesimal transformations generated by the derivation $\delta = \theta^i\delta_i$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta z^\alpha \;&=\; \theta^i R_i^\alpha(z), \qquad & \delta\bar{z}^{\underline{\alpha}} \;&=\; \theta^i\bar{R}_i^{\underline{\alpha}}(\bar{z}), \\ \delta\psi_L^\alpha \;&=\; \theta^i R_{i,\,\beta}^\alpha(z)\,\psi^\beta, & \delta\bar{\psi}_L^{\underline{\alpha}} \;&=\; \theta^i\bar{R}_{i,\,\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\alpha}}(\bar{z})\,\bar{\psi}^{\underline{\beta}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In general such transformations can be non-linear, requiring the introduction of a dimensional parameter f, conventionally the inverse mass associated with the breaking of these symmetries. As is well-known [3]-[5], the holomorphic Killing vectors can be derived from a set of real potentials $M_i(z,\bar{z})$ such that

$$R_i^\alpha(z) \;=\; -ig^{\underline{\alpha}\alpha} M_{i,\,\underline{\alpha}}, \qquad \bar{R}_i^{\underline{\alpha}} \;=\; ig^{\underline{\alpha}\alpha} M_{i,\,\alpha}. \tag{8}$$

Adjusting the constants in M_i they transform in the adjoint representation:

$$\delta_i M_j \;=\; R_i^\alpha M_{j,\,\alpha} \,+\, \bar{R}_i^{\underline{\alpha}} M_{j,\,\underline{\alpha}} \;=\; -i\left(R_i^\alpha \bar{R}_{j\alpha} - R_j^\alpha \bar{R}_{i\alpha}\right) \;=\; f_{ij}{}^k M_k. \tag{9}$$

For semi-simple Lie algebras this relation can be inverted [6], using the contravariant components of the structure constants normalized to $f_{ij}{}^k f^{ij}{}_l = 2\delta_l^k$:

$$M_i \;=\; -\frac{i}{2}\, f^{jk}{}_i \left(R_j^\alpha \bar{R}_{k\alpha} - R_k^\alpha \bar{R}_{j\alpha}\right). \tag{10}$$

Under the holomorphic transformations (7) the Kähler potential $K(\bar{z},z)$ itself transforms as

$$\delta_i K(\bar{z},z) \;=\; F_i(z) + \bar{F}_i(\bar{z}), \tag{11}$$

where the holomorphic functions $F_i(z)$, $\bar{F}_i(\bar{z})$ are given by

$$F_i \;=\; K_{,\alpha} R_i^\alpha \,+\, iM_i, \qquad \bar{F}_i \;=\; K_{,\underline{\alpha}} \bar{R}_i^{\underline{\alpha}} \,-\, iM_i. \tag{12}$$

As is obvious from eq.(2), such a change of the Kähler potential does not affect the form of the metric $g_{\alpha\underline{\alpha}}$. The model defined by the lagrangean (1) can be extended in several ways: by adding superpotential terms, by gauging some or all of the internal symmetries [3]-[7], by coupling other matter supermultiplets in linear or non-linear representations of the internal symmetry [8], or by gauging supersymmetry in coupling the model to supergravity [9]. A review discussing various aspects can be found in ref.[10].

The presence of chiral anomalies in the internal symmetries (7) restricts the usefulness of these models for phenomenological applications [4, 11, 12, 15]. In particular, anomalies have to be removed to allow for a consistent gauging of the symmetries, including the chiral $U(1)$ symmetry (5). To achieve this, it is necessary to couple additional chiral fermions in other representations of the symmetry group to the σ-model preserving the holomorphic Killing vectors. To obtain chiral representations of the internal symmetries on the fermions whilst respecting supersymmetry, these fermions must be members of additional chiral superfields. Then the coupling amounts to embedding the Kähler manifold, on which the original superfields live, inside a larger Kähler manifold whilst preserving the holomorphic Killing vectors of the original model. Such a procedure was described in detail in ref.[8].

In this letter we construct new matter representations of the Lie-algebra (6), generalizing the results of [8]. These new representations help to cancel the chiral

anomalies of the σ-model by allowing a more general assignment of charges to the matter fields. However, this gives rise to non-standard transformation rules of the new matter superfields under internal symmetries, and requires non-polynomial lagrangeans. A general presciption for the construction of these actions is given.

In the procedure of ref.[8] one takes chiral superfields transforming covariantly w.r.t. the diffeomorphism group on the Kähler manifold as holomorphic complex tensors. Introducing multi-indices $A = (\alpha_1, ...\alpha_p)$, we write the components of a rank p tensor as $\Psi^{\alpha_1...\alpha_p} \equiv \Psi^A = (N^A, \chi_L^A)$. Under the special holomorphic diffeomorphisms $z \to z'(z)$ generated by the Killing vectors $R_i^\alpha(z)$ this yields the infinitesimal transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta N^A &= \theta^i R^A_{i,\,B} N^B \equiv \theta^i \sum_{k=1}^{p} R^{\alpha_k}_{i,\,\beta}(z)\, N^{\alpha_1..\beta..\alpha_p}, \\ \delta\hat{\chi}_L^A &= \theta^i R^A_{i,\,B} \hat{\chi}_L^B \equiv \theta^i \sum_{k=1}^{p} R^{\alpha_k}_{i,\,\beta}(z)\, \hat{\chi}_L^{\alpha_1..\beta..\alpha_p}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

provided one takes the covariant spinor components

$$\hat{\chi}_L^A = \chi_L^A + \Gamma_{B\beta}{}^A N^B \psi_L^\beta \equiv \chi_L^{\alpha_1...\alpha_p} + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\alpha_k} N^{\alpha_1..\gamma..\alpha_p}\, \psi_L^\beta. \tag{14}$$

It is straighforward to check, that these fields define a representation of the Lie algebra (6). Invariant lagrangeans for the superfields Ψ^A are easily constructed by adding diffeomorphism invariant terms to the Kähler potential, the simplest ones being

$$\Delta K(\bar{\Phi}, \Phi; \bar{\Psi}, \Psi) = g_{A\underline{A}}\, \bar{\Psi}^{\underline{A}} \Psi^A \equiv g_{\alpha_1\underline{\alpha_1}}(\bar{\Phi}, \Phi)...g_{\alpha_p\underline{\alpha_p}}(\bar{\Phi}, \Phi)\, \bar{\Psi}^{\underline{\alpha_1}\cdots\underline{\alpha_p}} \Psi^{\alpha_1...\alpha_p}. \tag{15}$$

Other possibilities involve e.g. contractions with curvature components, and terms of higher-order in $\bar{\Psi}$ and Ψ [8].

By construction, the transformation rules of the chiral superfields Ψ^A under the Lie-algebra (6) are completely fixed in terms of those for Φ^α. In particular the weight under the chiral $U(1)$ transformations of Ψ^A is fixed to be p times that of Φ^α. However, this is generally not the $U(1)$ charge required for the cancellation of the anomalies.

To change this, it is sufficient to construct a scalar superfield S with non-zero $U(1)$ charge. For the moment we take this scalar superfield to be dimensionless. Its $U(1)$ charge may be fixed to equal that of the σ-model fields, i.e. the relative $U(1)$ weight is fixed to unity; for if the relative weight is not unity, we redefine S by raising it to an appropriate power. With such a scalar at hand, one can change the $U(1)$ weight of a tensor of arbitrary rank by multiplication with some power κ of S:

$$\Psi^A \to \Psi^{(\kappa)\,A} \equiv S^\kappa\, \Psi^A. \tag{16}$$

If q is the $U(1)$ charge of the scalar fields z^α, the superfield $\Psi^{(\kappa)\,A}$ of rank p then carries a $U(1)$ charge $(p+\kappa)q$, with κ a free parameter.

A scalar superfield transforming non-trivially under the Lie algebra (6) can indeed be found [8]. Writing its relevant components as (s, η_L), the transformation rules are given by

$$\delta_i S = \lambda F_i(\Phi) S \;\to\; \delta_i s \;=\; \lambda F_i(z) s, \quad \delta_i \eta_L \;=\; \lambda \left(F_i(z)\eta_L + s F_{i,\alpha}\psi_L^\alpha\right). \tag{17}$$

These transformation rules define a consistent representation of the algebra (6) for any value of the constant λ, because the holomorphic functions $F_i(z)$ satisfy the property

$$\delta_i F_j \;-\; \delta_j F_i \;=\; F_{j,\,\alpha} R_i^\alpha \;-\; F_{i,\,\alpha} R_j^\alpha \;=\; f_{ij}{}^k F_k. \tag{18}$$

This follows from the definition (12) of F_i, the Lie-algebra property (6) of the Killing vectors, and the homogeneous transformation property (9) of the Killing potentials $M_i(\bar{z}, z)$. This last one holds only if the constants of integration in the Killing potential are properly fixed; we thus observe that the same choice of constants fixes the constant contribution to the holomorphic functions F_i so as to guarantee the transformation rule (18).

Next we observe that an imaginary constant term may be present in the holomorphic function F_θ for the $U(1)$ transformations if some of the isometries are non-linear[1], because such a term is present in M_θ whenever $U(1)$ appears on the right-hand side of the Lie-algebra (6): $F_\theta = iaq/f^2$, with q the elementary $U(1)$ charge of the σ-model scalars and a a dimensionless constant. On the other hand, if the $U(1)$ charge commutes with all other symmetries a constant term like this can freely be added, as a counterpart to the Fayet-Iliopoulos mechanism in the context of supersymmetric σ-models. However it is generated, such a term is invisible in the transformation of K, as this only involves the real part of F. But it generates precisely the $U(1)$ transformation of S we are looking for; indeed, taking $\lambda = f^2/a$ in eqs.(17) one gets

$$\delta_\theta\, s \;=\; iq\theta s, \qquad \delta_\theta\, \eta_L \;=\; iq\theta \eta_L. \tag{19}$$

In this way the transformations with unit relative $U(1)$ weight are realized on the scalar superfield S.

Because of the special form of the transformation rule (17) for S and the transformation rule (11) of the Kähler potential, the real composite superfield

$$Y \;=\; \bar{S} S\, e^{-\frac{f^2}{a} K(\bar{\Phi},\Phi)}, \tag{20}$$

is an invariant under the internal symmetries generated by the Lie-algebra of holomorphic Killing vectors and the corresponding transformations of S and $\bar{S}$.

[1]When the broken generators of the algebra all have the same charge one can show that this term does not vanish and the present construction is guaranteed.

In a different context this construction was used in [13, 14]. A physical scalar field of unit mass dimension and $U(1)$ weight r is obtained by taking: $H^{(r)} = S^r/f$; the D-term of the real superfield $\Delta K_H = Y^r/f^2$ defines its kinetic action.

We can now proceed similarly with the non-standard tensor representations $\Psi^{(\kappa)\,A}$. Multiplying the standard Kähler potential (15) by the invariant Y^κ and absorbing the powers of S in the matter superfields Ψ^A, one obtains

$$\Delta K^{(\kappa)}(\bar\Phi, \Phi; \bar\Psi^{(\kappa)}, \Psi^{(\kappa)}) = e^{-\frac{\kappa f^2}{a} K(\bar\Phi,\Phi)}\, g_{A\underline{A}}(\bar\Phi, \Phi)\, \bar\Psi^{(\kappa)\,\underline{A}} \Psi^{(\kappa)\,A}. \tag{21}$$

The transformation rule for $\Psi^{(\kappa)\,A}$ follows directly from those of Ψ^A and S:

$$\delta_i \Psi^{(\kappa)\,A} \;=\; R^A_{i,\,B}(\Phi)\, \Psi^{(\kappa)\,B} \;+\; \frac{\kappa f^2}{a}\, F_i(\Phi)\, \Psi^{(\kappa)\,A}. \tag{22}$$

These transformations are holomorphic and generally non-linear, although linear in the matter fields $\Psi^{(\kappa)\,A}$ themselves. Keeping this in mind, it is immediately obvious that the new contributions ΔK to the Kähler potential are strictly invariant under these field transformations; hence there are no new contributions to the holomorphic functions $F_i(\Phi)$ in the variation of the full Kähler potential $\tilde K = K + \Delta K^{(\kappa)}$:

$$\delta_i \tilde K \;=\; F_i(\Phi) \;+\; \bar F_i(\bar\Phi), \tag{23}$$

with the same holomorphic functions $(F_i, \bar F_i)$ as for the original K itself. This holds of course also for the special case of an additional scalar, with an extra term in $\tilde K$ given by ΔK_H defined above.

For a general theory of chiral superfields $Z^I = (\Phi^\alpha, H^{(r)}, \Psi^{(\kappa)\,A})$, with $H^{(r)}$ denoting any scalars and $\Psi^{(\kappa)}$ any non-scalar matter superfields, it is now straightforward to compute the full Killing potentials; in terms of the scalar components of the superfields $z^I = (z^\alpha, h^{(r)}, N^{(\kappa)})$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde M_i \;&=\; i\left(\tilde K_{,I}\, \delta_i\, z^I \;-\; F_i\right) \\
&=\; M_i\, \left(1 - \tfrac{rf^2}{a}\, e^{-\frac{rf^2}{a}K}\, \left|h^{(r)}\right|^2 - \tfrac{\kappa f^2}{a}\, e^{-\frac{\kappa f^2}{a}K}\, g_{A\underline{A}} \bar N^{(\kappa)\,\underline{A}} N^{(\kappa)\,A}\right) \\
&\quad + i e^{-\frac{\kappa f^2}{a}K}\, R_{i\,\underline{A},A}\, \bar N^{(\kappa)\,\underline{A}} N^{(\kappa)\,A}.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

For $r = \kappa = 0$ the expression agrees with ref.[8]; the last term can also be written in the form

$$i R_{i\,\underline{A},A}\, \bar N^{(\kappa)\,\underline{A}} N^{(\kappa)\,A} \;=\; \sum_{k=1}^{p}\, g_{\alpha_1\underline{\alpha}_1} ... M_{i,\,\alpha_k\underline{\alpha}_k} ... g_{\alpha_p\underline{\alpha}_p}\, \bar N^{(\kappa)\,\underline{\alpha}_1 ... \underline{\alpha}_p} N^{(\kappa)\,\alpha_1 ... \alpha_p}. \tag{25}$$

Clearly, for the case of a scalar $h^{(r)}$ this term is absent.

The algebra of symmetry transformations (7), (22) can now be gauged in a straightforward way by introducing covariant derivatives into the action, with

Yukawa couplings for the gauginos, and the addition of a scalar potential arising from elimination of the auxiliary D_i fields:

$$\tilde{V} \,=\, \frac{g^2}{2}\,\left[\tilde{M}_i(\bar{z},z;\bar{h}^{(r)},h^{(r)};\bar{N}^{(\kappa)},N^{(\kappa)})\right]^2 . \tag{26}$$

Being a sum of squares, the potential admits a supersymmetric vacuum only if all $\tilde{M}_i = 0$. For the pure supersymmetric σ-model this is often impossible; in particular, for coset models G/H the sum M_i^2 equals the curvature, a positive constant if G is compact [6]. In contrast, in the presence of a charged matter fields, like a scalar $h^{(r)}$, it becomes much easier: for any values of $(z,\bar{z})$ and $r/a > 0$ we can take $|h|^2 = af^2/r\, e^{rf^2K/a}$ and $N^{(\kappa)\,A} = 0$. This is all-right, as all goldstone bosons z now disappear from the physical spectrum, being replaced by massive vector boson states. Furthermore the vacuum expectation value of $h^{(r)}$ breaks the $U(1)$ symmetry, whilst supersymmetry is preserved. As a result a complete massive vector multiplet formed by the $U(1)$ gauge boson, the higgs scalar $h^{(r)}$ and a dirac fermion formed out of the associated gaugino and higgsino, is seen to decouple at low energy. If such a singlet with $r/a > 0$ is not present more complicated situations may arise [20].

We illustrate the above construction in the context of a well-known model with a phenomenologically interesting particle spectrum, defined by the homogeneous coset space $E_6/SO(10)\times U(1)$ [16, 17]; however, our construction applies to all types of Kähler manifolds, including non-compact and non-homogeneous ones [18, 19]. The target manifold $E_6/SO(10)\times U(1)$ is parametrized by 16 complex fields z_α, $\alpha = 1,...,16$, transforming as a Weyl spinor under $SO(10)$. Their chiral fermion superpartners have the quantum numbers of one full generation of quarks and leptons, including a right-handed neutrino. The holomorphic Killing vectors spanning the non-linear representation of E_6 in terms of these complex fields were given in [17], whilst the extension to the case of $SO(10)$ vector and $SO(10)$ scalar matter superfields were presented in [8]. We summarize these results here and explain how to realize the non-standard extensions of Kähler σ-models presented above in this context.

The Kähler potential of the model can be cast in the form [16, 17]

$$K(\bar{z},z) \,=\, \bar{z}\cdot[Q^{-1}\ln(1+Q)]\cdot z, \tag{27}$$

where the covariant $(1,1)$ tensor Q is defined as

$$Q^\beta_\alpha = \frac{f^2}{4}\, M^{\beta\delta}_{\alpha\gamma}\,\bar{z}^\gamma z_\delta, \tag{28}$$

with $M^{\beta\delta}_{\alpha\gamma} = 3\delta^{+\beta}_{\alpha}\delta^{+\delta}_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^+_{mn\alpha}{}^{\beta}\Gamma^+_{mn\gamma}{}^{\delta}$; the matrices Γ^+_{mn} are the generators of $SO(10)$ on positive chirality spinors of $SO(10)$ [17]. The dimensionful constant f is the one introduced before to assign correct physical dimensions to the scalar

fields $(\bar{z}, z)$. The metric derived from this Kähler potential possesses a set of holomorphic Killing vectors generating a non-linear representation of E_6:

$$\delta z_\alpha = \theta R^\theta_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\,\omega_{mn} R^{mn}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\,\left(\epsilon \cdot \bar{R}_\alpha + \bar{\epsilon}\cdot R_\alpha\right), \tag{29}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R^\theta_\alpha &= \frac{i}{2}\,\sqrt{3} z_\alpha, \quad R^{mn}_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\,\left(\Gamma^+_{mn}\cdot z\right)_\alpha, \\ \bar{R}^\beta_\alpha &= \frac{i}{f}\delta^\beta_\alpha, \qquad R_{\beta\alpha} = -\frac{if}{4}\,M^{\gamma\delta}_{\beta\alpha}\, z_\gamma z_\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The corresponding Killing potentials are

$$\begin{aligned} M^\theta &= \frac{1}{f^2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{2}\,\sqrt{3}\,\bar{z}^\alpha K_{,\alpha}, \quad M^{mn} = -\frac{i}{2}\,\bar{z}^\alpha \Gamma^{+\ \beta}_{mn\alpha} K_{,\beta}, \\ \bar{M}^\beta &= -\frac{1}{f}\,K^{,\beta}, \qquad\qquad M_\beta = -\frac{1}{f}\,K_{,\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Observe the presence of the constant term in the $U(1)$ Killing potential M^θ which is required to close the Lie algebra on the Killing potentials.

Having in hand the Kähler and Killing potentials, we can construct the holomorphic functions $F_i(z)$ from eq.(12). A straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} F^\theta &= \frac{i}{f^2\sqrt{3}}, \quad F^{mn} = 0, \\ \bar{F}^\beta &= 0, \qquad\qquad F_\beta = -\frac{i}{f}\,z_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

For the variation of the Kähler potential this gives the standard result [16]

$$\delta K \;=\; \frac{i}{f}\,\left(\epsilon\cdot\bar{z} - \bar{\epsilon}\cdot z\right). \tag{33}$$

Next we insert the expressions for the $F_i(z)$ into eq.(17) to get the superfield transformations

$$\delta S \;=\; \lambda\left(\frac{i}{f^2\sqrt{3}}\theta - \frac{i}{f}\bar{\epsilon}\cdot\Phi\right) S. \tag{34}$$

Taking $\lambda = 3f^2/2$ gives the desired result of relative unit $U(1)$ weight:

$$\delta S \;=\; \frac{i}{2}\,\sqrt{3}\,\theta S \;-\; \frac{3i}{2}\,f\,\bar{\epsilon}\cdot\Phi\, S, \tag{35}$$

which has the same $U(1)$ weight as the $\underline{16}$ of goldstone scalars z^α defining the unit of $U(1)$ charge. This result is in agreement[2] with previous results [8].

[2]We take the opportunity of pointing out a misprint in eqs.(6.6) in [8], where a minus sign is missing in the last line.

The compensating superfield S can now be used to construct other non-standard representations of the E_6 algebra. For example, a scalar H and a vector Ψ_m complete the set of complex chiral superfields to form a $\underline{27}$ of E_6, provided the $U(1)$ charges are assigned correctly; indeed, its decomposition under $SO(10)$ reads

$$\underline{27} \;\rightarrow\; \underline{16}(1) + \underline{10}(-2) + \underline{1}(4), \tag{36}$$

where the numbers in parentheses denote the relative $U(1)$ weights. With this choice of matter content, the cancellation of chiral anomalies of the full E_6 isometry group is achieved.

An $SO(10)$ scalar with relative $U(1)$ weight r is now obtained by taking $H^{(r)} = S^r/f$; its transformations under the full E_6 are

$$\delta H^{(r)} = i\frac{r}{2}\sqrt{3}\,\theta H^{(r)} \;-\; i\frac{3r}{2} f\,\bar{\epsilon}\cdot\Phi\, H^{(r)}. \tag{37}$$

A superfield Ψ_m, $m = 1, ..., 10$, in the vector representation of $SO(10)$, is an irreducible part of a bi-spinor:

$$\Psi_m \;=\; -\frac{1}{16}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{\Psi} C \bar{\Sigma}_m), \tag{38}$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_{\alpha\beta}$ is a bi-spinor, $(\Sigma_m, \bar{\Sigma}_m)$ are the 10-dimensional analogues of the Pauli-matrices and C the corresponding charge conjugation matrix [17]. The standard representation of E_6 on the bi-spinor is obtained by the same reduction of the direct product of the representations defined by the Killing vectors (30). This gives

$$\delta\Psi_m \;=\; i\theta\sqrt{3}\,\Psi_m \;-\; \omega_{mn}\Psi_n \;-\; if\bar{\epsilon}\cdot\left(\Gamma^+_{mn} + 3\,\delta^+_{mn}\right)\cdot\Phi\,\Psi_n. \tag{39}$$

To construct a non-standard representation we consider the rescaled chiral superfield $\Psi^{(\kappa)}_m = S^\kappa \Psi_m$. Its transformations can be worked out in a straightforward way, with the result

$$\delta\Psi^{(\kappa)}_m \;=\; \frac{i}{2}\,\sqrt{3}\,(\kappa+2)\,\theta\,\Psi^{(\kappa)}_m - \omega_{mn}\Psi^{(\kappa)}_n - if\bar{\epsilon}\cdot\left(\Gamma^+_{mn} + \frac{3}{2}\,(\kappa+2)\,\delta^+_{mn}\right)\cdot\Phi\,\Psi^{(\kappa)}_n. \tag{40}$$

For $\kappa = -4$ the relative $U(1)$ charge of the 10-vector $\Psi^{(-4)}_m$ becomes -2, as required by the anomaly cancellation. Note also, that $\kappa = -2$ would give an $SO(10)$ vector of zero charge. In the following we take the values $r = 4$ and $\kappa = -4$ for the relative $U(1)$ weights of H and Ψ_m understood, and drop the superscripts.

An invariant kinetic action for these matter superfields is obtained as an application of eqs. (20) and (21):

$$\Delta K \;=\; |H|^2 e^{-6f^2 K(\bar{\Phi},\Phi)} \;+\; g_{mn}(\bar{\Phi},\Phi)\,\bar{\Psi}_m\Psi_n\, e^{6f^2 K(\bar{\Phi},\Phi)}. \tag{41}$$

Here the induced metric for the 10-vector representation is

$$g_{mn}(\bar{\Phi},\Phi) \;=\; -\,\frac{1}{16}\,g_{\alpha}^{\ \beta}(\bar{\Phi},\Phi)\,g_{\gamma}^{\ \delta}(\bar{\Phi},\Phi)\,\left(C\bar{\Sigma}_m\right)^{\alpha\gamma}\,(\Sigma_n C)_{\beta\delta}\,. \tag{42}$$

The factor 1/16 is introduced to normalize the metric to δ_{mn} in the limit $\Phi = 0$. It remains to construct the extended Killing potentials. Using the general results (24), (25) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{M}_i \;&=\; M_i\,\left(1-6f^2e^{-6f^2K}|h|^2+6f^2e^{6f^2K}g_{mn}\bar{N}_mN_n\right)\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{8}\,e^{6f^2K}M_{i,\alpha}^{\ \ \beta}\,g_{\gamma}^{\ \delta}\,\left(C\bar{\Sigma}_m\right)^{\alpha\gamma}\,(\Sigma_n C)_{\beta\delta}\,\bar{N}_mN_n,\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

with the Killing potentials M_i given by the expressions (31). In the case the full E_6 symmetry is gauged, the scalar potential is given by the implementation of eq.(26). However, in that case all the goldstone bosons disappear from the spectrum as a result of the Brout-Englert-Higgs effect; in the unitary gauge $z_\alpha = 0$ the potential then simplifies to the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}_{unitary} \;&=\; \frac{g^2}{2}\sum_i\,\left[\tilde{M}_i(\bar{h},h;\bar{N}_m,N_m)\right]^2\\ &=\; \frac{g^2}{2}\,\left(\frac{1}{f^2\sqrt{3}}-2\sqrt{3}\,|h|^2+\sqrt{3}\,|N_m|^2\right)^2\\ &\quad +\frac{g^2}{2}\,|\bar{N}_mN_n-\bar{N}_nN_m|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The potential possesses a large continuous set of supersymmetric minima given by the solutions $Im(N_m) = 0$, and

$$|h|^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{6f^2}\;+\;\frac{1}{2}\,|N_m|^2. \tag{45}$$

It follows that $|h| \neq 0$ and the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry is always broken; a solution with $|N_m| = 0$ is possible, preserving $SO(10)$. However, solutions with $|N_m| \neq 0$ breaking $SO(10)$ are allowed, and expected in a next stage of symmetry breaking.

Clearly the above procedure is quite powerful for constructing consistent effective actions for supersymmetric field theories. Interesting applications also include the Grassmannian coset manifolds, describing hierarchies of $SU(N)$ symmetries [3]-[5],[10]. Furthermore our construction can be extended to supergravity, allowing a larger class of supersymmetric unification models to be studied, e.g. based on the coset $E_6/SO(10)\times U(1)$ discussed here in Minkowski space-time. A more detailed analysis of scalar potential minima, mass spectra and residual symmetries is called for. We intend to elaborate on these issues in a separate paper [20].

References

[1] B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. B87 (1979), 203

[2] L. Alvarez Gaumé and D.Z. Freedman, Comm. Math. Phys. 80 (1981), 443

[3] J. Bagger and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B118 (1982), 103

[4] C.L. Ong, Phys. Rev. D27 (1983), 3044

[5] Y. Achiman, S. Aoyama and J.W. van Holten, Phys. Lett. B150 (1985), 153

[6] S. Aoyama, Nuov. Cim. 92A (1986), 282;
id., Z. Phys. C32 (1986), 113

[7] M.P. Mattis, Phys. Rev. D28 (1983), 2649

[8] J.W. van Holten, Nucl. Phys. B260 (1985), 125

[9] E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, L. Girardello, and A. Van Proeyen,
Nucl. Phys. B212 (1983), 413

[10] M. Bando, T. Kugo and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rep. 164 (1988), 217

[11] G. Moore and P. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984), 1519

[12] E. Cohen and C. Gomez, Nucl. Phys. B254 (1985), 235

[13] F.A. Berezin, Math. Ussr (Izv.) 8 (1974), 1109

[14] D. Bar-Moshe and M.S. Marinov, J.Phys. A27 (1994), 6287

[15] S. Aoyama and J.W. van Holten, Nucl. Phys. B258 (1985), 18

[16] Y. Achiman, S. Aoyama and J.W. van Holten, Phys. Lett. B141 (1984), 64

[17] Y. Achiman, S. Aoyama and J.W. van Holten, Nucl. Phys. B258 (1985), 179

[18] G.M. Shore, Nucl. Phys. B320 (1989), 202

[19] A.C.W. Kotchoff and G.M. Shore, Nucl. Phys. B333 (1990), 701

[20] S. Groot Nibbelink and J.W. van Holten, in preparation